Case 1:08-cv-01770-AKH [Document 1	Filed 02/19/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
CARLOS LOPES AND MARIA LOPES		DOCKET NO.	
Plaint	tiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defen	dants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Cor			9
	NOTICE OF	FADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth her Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth, as	rein in addition are marked w	on to those paragraphs a vith an '☑' if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, CARLOS LOPES AND GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN		, ,	•
	I. PART	<u> TIES</u>	
	A. PLAINTI	IFF(S)	

Alternatively, \square _____ of Decedent

_____, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of ______

citizen of New York residing at 41 Robin Drive, Carmel, NY 10512-.

2.

☑ Plaintiff, CARLOS LOPES (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and a

Ca	se 1:08-cv-01770-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 2 of 11
3. residing at 41 Plaintiff:	<u> </u>	the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New York has the following relationship to the Injured
i iamum.	CARLOS LOPES, and brings	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the
		and (his wife), Plaintiff CARLOS LOPES. Other:
4. Industries, Ind	In the period from 9/13/2001 to 12/31/c. as a Foreman at:	2006 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Felix
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
	l Trade Center Site	□ The Barge
Location(s) (i	i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about until;
From on or about <u>9/13/2001</u> until <u>12/31/2006</u> ; Approximately <u>12</u> hours per day; for		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
	$\frac{1}{1800} \text{ days total.}$	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
☐ The New	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
	oout, until,	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total
Approximatel	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	Kills Landfill	From on or about;
	oout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	his information on a separate sheet of pa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify
Continue	-	ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

mjure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

	. —
☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	✓ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
=======================================	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP ☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☐ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☐ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ EN-TECH CORF
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
- / WORLD IRADE COMI ANT, E.I.	

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-01770-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers ☐; ☐ Contested, botal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisd ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
of lial	, ,	name	ACTION d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	✓	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Other: _____

Case 1:08-cv-01770-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Shortness of Breath Date of onset: 1/3/2008 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 1/3/2008 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged. 2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:			
√	Pain and suffering			
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
V	Other:			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York February 18, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Carlos Lopes and Maria Lopes

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York February 18, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
CARLOS LOPES (AND WIFE, MARIA LOPES),			
Plaintiff(s) - against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,			
Defendant(s).			
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT			
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700			
To Attorney(s) for			
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.			
Attorney(s) for			
NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc.,			